

From: Jason Swartz
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 3:59pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Hello,

I'm writing about the proposed settlement for the Microsoft antitrust case, in accordance with the Tunney act.

The proposed settlement is far too lenient on Microsoft, and should be re-evaluated. I agree with the problems identified in Dan Kegel's analysis (on the Web at <http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>), namely:

Microsoft increases the Applications Barrier to Entry by using restrictive license terms and intentional incompatibilities. Yet the PFJ fails to prohibit this, and even contributes to this part of the Applications Barrier to Entry.

Thanks,

- Jason Swartz